

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,307 09/22/2003		Bobby R. Johnson	230350 4310		
7:	590 10/01/2004	EXAMINER			
Peter Loffler			SMITH, JAMES G		
P.O. Box 1001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-	
Niceville, FL 32588-1001				TALERIONEER	-
			3723		
		DATE MAIL ED: 10/01/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/668,30	7	JOHNSON, BOBBY R.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	_				
		James G.		3723					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is no	on-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except	for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.								
<u>-</u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/22/03</u> .		6) Other:	ipproduori (i Ti	5 102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/668,307

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis for "the received accessory" in claim 1.

Claim 7 depends from itself and is therefore indefinite, as are all the claims which depend from it.

3. Normally a claim which fails to comply with the first and/or second paragraph of § 112 will not be analyzed as to whether it is patentable over the prior art since to do so would of necessity require speculation with regard to the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter, In re Steele, 308 F.2d 859, 862-63, 134 USPQ 292, (CCPA 1962) and In re Wilson, 424 F.2d 1382, 1385, 496 USPQ 494, 496 (CCPA 1970).

Only claims 7-12 will have no prior art applied against them.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,307

Art Unit: 3723

5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minotti in view of either Arnold or Hanes et. al..

Minotti shows the claimed invention except for the use of a socket with a through hole attached to the driven gear. Either Arnold or Hanes et. al. suggests that a geared driver can have such a socket so that the tool can be used of long bolts. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Minotti by using a hollow socket attached to the driven gear because either Arnold or Hanes et. al. suggests the use of such a socket for the purpose of accommodating long bolts.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minotti in view of either Arnold or Hanes et. al. as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of either Knopp et. al. or Diaz.

Minotti, as modified by either Arnold or Hanes et. al., shows the claimed invention except for the use of an oil fill opening in the body of the tool. Either Knopp et. al. or Diaz suggests that a wrench can be supplied with a fitting or opening for the purpose of allowing lubricant or grease to be applied into the body. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Minotti by using an opening or fitting in the body of the wrench <u>because</u> either Knopp et. al. or Diaz suggests the use of such an opening or fitting for the purpose of allowing lubricant or grease to be applied into the wrench body.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,307

Art Unit: 3723

7. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minotti in view of either Arnold or Hanes et. al. as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Nash.

Minotti, as modified by either Arnold or Hanes et. al., shows the claimed invention except for the use of a handle that is attachable to the body. Nash suggests that a wrench can have a removable handle, attached by means of threads, to allow the user a better grip of the device. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Minotti by using a handle attached by threads <u>because</u> Nash suggests the use of such a handle to allow the user a better grip of the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The remaining prior art is cited as showing various types of extensions and adapters for use with wrenches, similar to those shown by applicant.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs 9/30/04